

Thank you. Um, it's now 1140 and it's time to resume, uh, this issue specific hearing. I just, uh, confirmed that the livestream and teams is recommend. Thank you. Okay. Um, just before I move on to the next item, it was remiss of me not to just inquire. , uh, when we would be receiving the additional information that you've, uh, been doing on the archeology, is that likely to be submitted by deadline one, or are you anticipating a, a later deadline in respect to that

Claire Brook on behalf of the applicant? Um, I, I can take instructions. It, it may be that there isn't something significant to submit to the examin. What we are making progress on is the written scheme of investigation with the local authority if that, um, is signed off. Um, and I'm not putting Mr. Law under pressure, um, but it's largely dependent on us reaching agreement on that.

I'm sure that we can then provide a copy of that to, um, the examining authority, either out with the deadline periods or as soon as we have that a. Well, obviously we we're in your hands on that, but um, you'll see when we submit our first written questions, which are likely to be early next week, there are some elements covering archeology as you'd expect, and one of those is about, um, understanding the progress you've made, uh, because you do make reference to ongoing work.

So hopefully we'll get clarity. If not, in response to the question, maybe in advance, but you won't be surprised to know that we are going to ask some questions. Thank you, sir. Um, Ms. Price has, um, just informed me that certain information we will be able to provide to you by deadline One in terms of the ordering and the carbon dating work that has been completed.

So that information, uh, at the very least, we will be able to provide. By deadline one. Thank you.

Sorry. Uh, if I move on then to, um, automate, which is Schedule 14, the protective provisions, and if, uh, you're able to just set out the approach you've taken and the progress you've made with the relevant parties to appreciate that. Yes, thank you. Uh, Francis Everett on behalf of the applicant. Um, so I'll be referring to the draft dco, which is, uh, document reference a S O O 6, which is the, the clean version, the latest version of the document.

Um, we have included generic protected provisions within Schedule 14 of the draft dco, um, which are for the protection of electricity, gas, water, and sewage undertakers. That's in part one for schedule.

Your microphone's gone off. I'm sorry.

Is that better? Yeah,

go ahead. Yeah. Okay. Sorry about that. Um, so Francis Everett for the applicant, um, I'll just go back to the start then and repeat all what I just said there if that's easier. Um, so we have included generic protective provisions within schedule 14 of the draft dco, and that's document reference as 0 0 6.

Um, these are for the protection of electricity, gas, water, and sewerage undertakers, which just sat out in part one of Schedule 14, and also for the protection of operators of electronic communications code. Which is set out in part two of Schedule 14. Um, we have also received, uh, requests from a number of parties who've asked for bespoke protective provisions to be included within the order as well.

Those aren't currently included in the draft, um, as they're still being negotiated, but we do, we would, once they are finalized, we will be looking to include them at a, an appropriate deadline. Um, if I run through the list of those parties who've requested them and let you know the update on the current position, will that be helpful?

Yeah, so Network Rail Infrastructure Limited have, um, have requested bespoke protective provisions. Um, we had yesterday that we've been engaging in discussions with Network rail, um, for a while. And, um, we have received copies of their bespoke protective provisions, uh, which we, we've reviewed and we are in the early stages of negotiating those.

they are in a form that we would generally expect to see from Network rail, and we don't envi there being any major issues with those. Obviously they're still subject to negotiation at the moment. Um, but we would expect to be able to agree these before the close of the examination. Um, we've also received a request from GLL and Water Services Limited in respect of their apparatus that falls within the, the red line of the scheme.

Um, we've, again, we've been in discussions with them on the pps, um, as well as having discuss. In respective statement of common ground that they've also requested. Um, so those are ongoing. The draft PPEs are back with an and water at the moment, and we're expecting to hear from them shortly. Um, we're hoping to get a draft statement of common ground in with, um, into the, the, the examination at Deadline one.

Uh, the next party is Northern Power. So again, NPG requested bespoke protective provisions. Um, and again, we've provided comments on them earlier this week, and those are back with Melbourne power grid. Similar to angling water and uh, network rail, that they're in a relatively standard form. We're not expect, expecting to be any major issues with those, and we are expecting to be able to agree them before the close of the examination.

And finally, national Highways submitted a, a late relevant rep, which we received last week. We've reviewed the, the relevant rep and, and note that they are requesting bespoke protective provisions be included within the draft dco. Um, we've made inquiries with NH to address their concerns direct, and we're in the process of begin beginning those discussions to, to look at the, um, or to ascertain what protective provisions are after and, um, and what they would be expecting to see included within the order.

Um, we haven't actually seen their, their PPPs yet, but we would expect to see those. Um, and so it might be premature at the moment to, to say whether we can agree them or when we might agree them and if there are any major issues, but obviously we'll work towards getting any, any issues resolved as soon as possible and hopefully before the close of the examination as well.

Have you had an indication from National Highways as the time of when they're likely to send you their preferred protective provisions? Uh, no. Not yet, but we can. That's something we're dealing with them over email, so. Get that from them relatively quickly, I imagine. Thank you.

Um, I think the only other element that I would raise on this point is whether we haven't had it requested by a p, the associated British ports, but I would just ask you to confirm. Either with them jointly, that they're not looking for protective provisions as either navigation authority or port authority.

They've not asked for them, so I'm not inviting them. But I just would like to have confirmation, hopefully from both parties that they either aren't necessary, or if they are, they're in a agreed form of ones. Wherever it takes, uh, Francis Everett on behalf of the applicant. Um, yes, we can get in contact with them and.

Thanks.

That's Nicholson. Simon Nicholson from Rain. Um, I would've thought there would be a protective provision from Caden as there is a gas main runs across the site.

Uh, Francis Everett on behalf of the applicant. Um, yes, we are aware of the gas man that is running through the site. We have made, um, contact attempts to. CA and gas in order to, to see whe see from them whether they are happy with what we've got in the um, DCO already or whether they want to have their own pps.

And we haven't actually heard anything back from 'em yet, but we're still continuing to chase on those.

Thank you. I think the only other party who had asked for something that I can recall off the top of my head, it was British Steel. They had indicated they have. Equipment within the DCO site, have you managed to engage with them to address that particular point? Uh, yes. So, uh, sorry, Francis ever on behalf of the applicant, um, yes, we are aware of the existence of their, their private operators that runs across the site.

Um, we are looking into that and liaising with them in respect of, um, of resolving any of their issues. We'd look to maybe agree with that. Agree, agree Any. Points of them in the statement of common ground, um, and potentially update some of our, the documents in the, that have been submitted as part of the application as well.

I mean, I, I'm not sure they're a statutory undertaker, so it's gonna be a, will it end up being a private agreement between yourselves, uh, to resolve that? And so I guess my question is will we be party to that or will we just be getting a confirm. Subject to agreement being reached or not as the case maybe, I suppose.

Uh, yeah. Francis Everett on behalf of the applicant. Um, yes, we understand that what our view is, that they're not a Statu undertaker. Um, and so if we, if there was to be any agreement in relation to those, those apparatus and the land, um, then I suspect we would, um, discuss that with them and agree whether it could be submitted as part of the application or whether we do just give any form of confirm.

But it's something that we still need to discuss with them. Okay, thank you.

Okay, so if there's no further comments on the protective provisions elements, I'll come onto the item nine, consents, licenses, and other agreements. Obviously, we have a statement from you as to the license and agreements that, uh, Likely to be required should development consent be granted. Uh, perhaps you could give us an update as to the progress and where you are with each of those

CLA Brook on behalf of the applicant. Um, yes. Uh, the document that you refer to a P 42 sets out, um, the anticipated other consents and licenses that will be require. As part of, um, the authorized development, we have sought to, um, get updates with respect to each of these. At this stage, there is a limited update, but I think the key one that I wanted to make reference to, given its significance is the permit required from the environment agency and the interactions that we've had.

With the agency, and I believe the agency may still be on the call as well in terms of confirming any of that information. A formal pre-application meeting has been held with the Environment Agency and that was held on the 17th of October, and that was with both the national and the regional, um, energy recovery facility team in terms of, um, various items were discussed at that meeting.

And a, um, formal response has been received from the Environment Agency in terms of their pre-application advice. We received that on the 4th of November. And then in terms of further engagement and meetings with the agency in, in conjunction with seeking to prepare a statement of common ground as well.

We've got a further meeting next week on the 22nd of.

So at this stage it's scoping out the relevant assessments, how the permit will deal with the various elements of the scheme, and, and we've received formal advice, um, in, in that respect and certainly happy to share any information that you feel will be useful, um, in, in that regard. That would be helpful.

I think also try and understand the timeframe that that will, uh, run. And I'm assuming that it's unlikely that it won't be concluded by the time the examination is, but correct me if I'm wrong on that. Uh, yes sir. You are, you are correct. Um, the formal application is, is not likely to be lodged, um, in the next couple of months or so, so there will not be a determination of that.

Um, prior to the close of the examination, the current estimate that we have been provided with in terms of a determination. By the environment agencies between eight and 12 months. So we won't have the benefit of a determined application, um, prior to the determination of, of the dco, which I, I should say is, is not necessarily unusual.

Um, on occasion, uh, there is twin tracking of the application process. Clearly a high level, um, an awful lot of the assessment work that is necessary for the. Has been provided as part of our EIA for the authorized development in terms of what is necessary to secure the dco, and obviously we will be engaging throughout with the Environment Agency to ensure that they are satisfied that there's no impediment to the the granting of a permit in due course.

Thank you. If I can ask the Environment Agency for any comments they would wish to.

Thank you, uh, Annette Hutson for the Environment Agency. Um, I haven't really got anything to add to what the applicant, um, has said in respect of the permit, um, other than to really confirm that obviously because we haven't received a formal application and we are, um, not sort of even in the early stages of determination, let alone the latter, we will not be able to provide any.

Letter of comfort in respect of, um, the likelihood of his granting a permit to operate the site. Thank you.

I I just seek clarification there from, um, obviously at the moment I can understand that might be the position. Uh, obviously the examination will be for a six month period. A lot will depend on what progress he's made between. And the end of the examination period. Are you able to give us any indication whether that position will change during that or am I, I'm perhaps jumping ahead of jumping the gun?

I think so. It, it's unlikely to change, um, if the applicant doesn't lodge the formal application, uh, you know, for another two to three. Um, and we've given an estimate of eight to 12 months for determining it. Um, we don't sort of start talking about letters of comfort until the very latter stages of determination when we have a, a draft permit, which has been put out to consultation.

So I don't think within the next six months of the examination period, that position's going to change. Okay, thank you. Um, before I come back to the applicant, was there any further points from either within the room or, uh, in teams that anyone, any point anyone would wish to make,

come back to you then? Thank you, sir. Um, there was one further update, um, that I wanted to provide which reference, which is referenced in the agenda and that relates. Any planning obligations that are being sought in conjunction and alongside the dco. We are seeking, um, an agreement with the local authority and rather than under section 106, that's under Section one 11.

And that relates to contributions to Highway Works, I believe in the vicinity of, of Neat House and Stay the road and a draft has been, I don't have the reference to hand, but it is an application document which we can confirm that we, that's currently with the council and we are in negotiations still around that agreement.

I don't expect it to be contentious. Contentious, given that it deals with one simple obligation and it's a P 47. Thank you.

Thank you sir. Andrew Law for North and Kin Council. Just just to confirm that we have received, um, a draft of the obligation that's currently being reviewed by our legal team. Um, it is very relatively

minor, um, covering some, um, works at Highway and signage, so I wouldn't anticipate that it'll be a lengthy process.

Um, It's not been agreed to date. Thank you. Thank you. I, I think from my perspective, the other element that I would ask for clarification on is the position you are with, uh, the neighboring landowner where you were hoping to negotiate a license. And, um, perhaps you can give us an update on, on that. It's the area of land.

Uh, set wouldn't be suitable for compulsory acquisition, but uh, would contribute towards your biodiversity net gain calculations. So perhaps you can give us an update on progress of negotiations on that. So, Claybrook on behalf of the applicant, I, I will need to check. Um, in terms of specific updates in relation to that land, I don't have, um, the update to hand at this.

I don't know if, um, Mr. Hammond is able to confirm it's, I believe it's in, can you specify which landowner or land interest you are referring to? I, I don't know the landowner. It may be the council, but it's the area of land to the east of the site to the, um, which I think is, um,

I'm being told the Jacksons,

sorry. Uh, can I refer possibly to counselor Helen Rosen there, she's a landowner herself, so she may well shed some light on it. Perhaps.

Bear with me cause I'll hopefully find a plan and be able to point out. if you've got a hard copy. And so we, we, we were just pulling at the land plans. Yeah, sir. And um, we've got, it's 4.2 of the land plans, a p p actual pause, um, and then it's sheet, gosh,

14 I think. Is it sheet 14? Sheet six, sheet six of 10. I think it's PPP 14. And it's a P 14. So I think we've identified it. It's, it's the ecological mitigation land in part two plots.

I believe Mr. Hammond may be able to provide an update now that we've identified the, the relevant plots. Okay. Thank you. So Colin Hammond on behalf of the applicant? Yes. The land belongs to Norco, which is part of the Normand be estate, and we're in negotiations with them on all the areas of land. They have a large area of land to the north of the site and, and that piece, Norco is part of the norm be estate, and there's agreement to license that.

Thank you. So you've actually concluded the a. Our, our agent is, sorry, Colin Hammond on behalf of the applicant. Uh, our agent is, uh, working directly with Lord Sheffield, uh, to conclude that, um, our agent is actually on holiday at the minute. Otherwise we would've had a, a site agreement. Okay. Again, I'm. Is that agreement something that is likely to be submitted to the examination or will it remain as a private agreement between the parties, um, Claybrook on behalf of the applicant?

Uh, as and when we complete that relevant license, we will either be able to redact and provide confirmation so that you have certainty that we do have the relevant interest in that land in order to then secure the the biodiversity net. On, on those parcels of land. So we will be able to provide you with the relevant information, potentially redacted, but certainly that will come forward during the course of the examination.

Thank you.

Okay, so I think that takes us to. Item 10, which is statements of common ground. I'm not sure at this stage we'll progress beyond where we were yesterday. So I think unless there's anything new that's arisen since yesterday, which I think is unlikely. Um, but yeah, I'll just, rather than just closing it off, I'll just just clarify that with you.

Sarah. Price on behalf of the applicant, um, Sarah says, I do have a sort of update party by party. Um, we clearly gave a real overview, um, last time. Um, I'd be very happy to go through them with you if it would be helpful or, or not, depending on what you would like to do. No, it'd be helpful to hear what progress has been made.

Thank you. Okay, thank you. So, so if I, we, we've got a fair few, so some I will deal with in a bit more detail and, um, others I will give a more of an. So the first is with North Lincolnshire Council. Uh, we have provided a, a full draft statement of common ground, which is, is with North Lincolnshire Council at the moment, and hopefully Mr.

Law can confirm that. Um, we, we are due to get detailed comments back on that. And we had a brief meeting with Mr. Law yesterday specifically to discuss comments on requirements. So, um, that's ongoing as well. Um, and we hope to be in a position. A, a working draft, I would say with the benefit of those comments for deadline one.

Then turning to the Environment Agency, um, a draft has been prepared. Um, it is still to be shared with the environment agency. Um, and actually, sorry, what I should say for the benefit of members of the public as well and those participating is that it's normal for the. To provide a draft to commence discussions and effectively for then the other party to provide their comments on that.



It, it just helps the preparation and flow of the documents. So with the Environment Agency, we have prepared that draft. As I said, it's not yet been shared, but we have a meeting on the 22nd of November with the Environment Agency to go through that first draft and we will share it. Of the meeting

Natural England. Again, we've, we've prepared a substantive draft of this, which is, is ready to share with Natural England, and we'll be doing that, um, very shortly. Um, we're arranging in the process of arranging a meeting with Natural England, um, which we understand is going to be during the week commencing, um, the 21st of.

My update is that that's likely to be on the 24th of November, but, um, just to say that that's in progress as well as to whether drafts of those statements of common ground with the Environment Agency and Natural England can be provided to you at Deadline One. Um, I'd slightly reserve that position until we've had those meetings.

Um, but if we have a working draft to submit to you, we, we will do.

24th. 24th. And thank you Mr. Hammond just updated me that the meeting with Natural England is taking place on the 24th, then Historic England, uh, we are in contact with them. Um, they're, they've confirmed that they're happy to, to have a statement of common ground with us. Um, they're a relatively limited matters that Historic England have commented on.

Of the matters are deferred to North Lincolnshire Council. So, um, that might be covering only limited matters, but, um, we will be agreeing a draft with, um, possibly not for submission at Deadline one, but, um, if, if not, deadline two, hopefully network rail. Um, we, um, we have now a confirmed contact for agreeing the statement of common ground with, um, we have a very well developed draft, which.

Sharing with them this week. So I, I hope that that can be in an agreed position relatively shortly. Again, possibly not submitted for Deadline one, but we will give you a update on all of these at Deadline one as well and submit those that we can.

National Grid Carbon Limited, um, primarily in relation to the, um, the low carbon pipelines. Um, we, again are in. With them. Um, we, we have contact through the legal team as well that represented them yesterday. Um, and so we'll be progressing that statement of common ground again on a fairly limited matter

UK win. We touched on this, I think on, um, possibly at the preliminary meeting. Um, we are in the process of finalizing an internal draft, which we will share very shortly. Hopeful. Week with UK win. Um, and then that will commence those, those discussions.

The, the next on, on your list says was Infinium. Um, again, we are in contact with them. Uh, we haven't made substantive progress in getting a draft in place, but we'll ensure that that happens very shortly.

Gun Thor and Gainsborough Water Management. Um, we are fairly well progressed with matters on the statement of common ground. Um, with them, we held a meeting on the 11th of November, um, and we are due to share a draft hopefully this week, um, with them for agreement. So it is possible that that might be able to be submitted by deadline one.

Sorry, council. Elaine. Mark, you just said 11th of November, that's gone. Do you mean. Uh, no, sorry. Council, we held a meeting with them on the 11th of November. Yes. Apologies if I wasn't clear. Sorry. Sarah. Price on behalf of the applicant. An and Water. Um, again, we are, we are well advanced in discussions with them on the statement of common ground.

Um, we've had a meeting with them on the 31st of. And, um, we have issued a draft statement of common ground to them and we have a further, a further meeting with them on the 28th of November. So it's possible that that statement of common ground might be able to be submitted by deadline one again, possibly in working draft form.

Um, and then we have various further utilities, seven Trend and Northern Power grid. Um, my. Everett, um, gave an update in relation to protective provisions. Um, so we are engaged with, with them on that. Um, in terms of the statement of common ground, they might well be fairly short statements of common ground, but we will progress those as well.

Um, and, uh, ensure that those are submitted to you in good time.

Associated British ports, um, again, have been. Well involved in the hearings over, um, yesterday in particular. Um, we're in, in regular contact with avp. Um, and, uh, again, we'll ensure that a statement of common ground is, is prepared and submitted to you. Sorry, there's 22 on the list, so, um, I, I'll keep going if you're happy for me to, I mean, I, it is helpful for us to understand the progress you're making.

Yeah, please continue. Thank you Sar. So hum. Beside fire and, and Rescue Authority, uh, we have been engaged, um, with them throughout consultation. Um, and we, we are just attempting to make the appropriate contact for agreeing a statement of common ground with, so, um, we haven't made a particular progress with that particular document yet, um, but we hope that we can get the right.

Progress that,

uh, national Highways, um, I think Ms. Everett gave a, a position in relation to protective provisions earlier. Again, we are, we are waiting for an appropriate contact for the statement of common ground, but we, we don't anticipate that that will be difficult and we should, we should have that in place, not for deadline one, but we, we are progressing it as fast as we are.

And then we have a number of parties who are principally landowners, um, where we are, um, in regular meetings, engagement with concerning, um, agreements for the acquisition of land, um, or protective provisions as maybe the case. Um, we do recognize, says though, that you have asked for statements of common ground with those parties as.

The majority of, of those parties. So Rayham Steel, Jo Paints, um, Amy Aggre who, who are a landowner, but only for very small portion of land, um, and, um, bag nor wind, um, who Aren landowner, but obviously adjacent to the site. Um, again, we're drafting up statements of common ground. They might be. Short in terms of the matter that they matters they cover.

But, um, we will progress those as quickly as we can. Um, on, um, ab a agree we are in contact with, with them. Uh, we've had a recent meeting and we'll be engaging on the statement of common ground via their, their agents

are dealing just briefly again with bag nor. We have had some difficulty trying to find out who is the owner and operator of that wind farm. It's changed parties a bit and we had a contact who, um, turned out not, not to have interest in the wind farm anymore and so we, we are attempting to do that. We'll update you at deadline one and, but we are doing our best to find the right person.

Then. I've only got two more, um, ca. Which actually we touched on with regard to protective provisions. We, we are struggling a bit to get the right contact here, but again, we will do our best and we're trying very frequently to ensure that we do get contact with the right person. And then finally, British Telecommunications and open reach.

Um, We've been dealing with, um, them in terms of protective provisions, uh, statement of common ground, not advanced. Um, but, but we will do our best to get it as, as quickly as we are able. Thank you. Says, thank you very much for that update. With regard to bag, no win. Uh, I think in their relevant representation, their, their main concerns seem to be their connections to various.

So hope, I don't know. Yeah. So yeah, it'd be important to get that resolved so that there isn't any, uh, conflict that we are not aware of or you know that it is addressed. And Sarah Price on behalf of the applicant, um, yes. Says we'll ensure that that's the case. I think the issue is that the relevant representation didn't have any sort of contact details on it.

So, um, that's. Um, been a bit more of a challenge,

and Sir Gladbrook on behalf of the applicant. I, I can confirm that. In terms of, um, our knowledge from our survey work, we do have information on that cable crossing point across the railway. So we have the information, clearly we need to engage with the relevant party or owner of the wind farm, but we are aware, aware of, um, its location and, and the issue that needs to be.

Thank you.

Okay. Um, thank you very much for that helpful summary. Um, I don't see any questions directly arising from that. Um, I certainly don't have anything further. So, um, it's just a question of, uh, whether we're able to review the issues and actions arising. I'm not sure I've managed. Such a close, uh, list today as we did yesterday.

Um, cause there was quite a lot of individual elements within the DCO that, um, you're going to revisit and come back and, and advise us on. Um, so, um, I'm not sure I'm able to go point by point through that. Um, so I rely on your notes of, uh, what we've discussed this morning to do that. All right, Claire, Brooke, on behalf of the applicant, sir, that's, that's absolutely fine.

And, and we will also prepare a schedule of changes that we make, and clearly it will be, um, in, in tracked form, but we've made detailed notes on, on all of the comments that have been made on both the articles and, and the requirements and some further things to, to think about in, in that regard. Thank you.

Now, um, if there are no other. I'll just come onto the final, any other matters element on the agenda. And I think the only point I would wish to make is that clearly we will be submitting, uh, to

everybody our written questions along with a Rule eight letter early next week. Um, we have been preparing those questions obviously in advance of this week, so there may be some questions.

Uh, have been covered by some of the hearings over the last couple of days. So, um, if you can respond to those in the way that is most efficient for you, I'm not asking you to duplicate things, so if it is in your oral submission of comments, just point out to us where that is. Um, whichever way works best so that, um, we know the question's been answered.

You don't need to repeat it or if it's easier for you to repeat it, just in a response to the question, I don't think we mind. Um, so I say that to everybody cuz obviously questions will be coming to various parties. Um, but other than that, just thank everybody for their attendance and their contributions.

Sorry, you are coming back to me. Thank you sir. That's, that's very helpful. Claire Brook, on behalf of the. I just had one further update that I felt was worth drawing to your attention, and certainly we will submit it as part of our response to the hearings that have taken place over the last few days.

It hasn't come up in discussion specifically. It relates to the grid connection offer, um, and the current status of that. We do have further correspondence that we've received from Northern Power Grid in relation to the grid connection, and in particular the requirement. Um, import, uh, 50 megawatts and more importantly, to ensure that the, uh, export matches the 95 megawatt threshold.

And you'll have seen reference in our grid connection statement to where we had got to with Northern Power Grid. We've received an updated letter from them dated the 11th of November. I won't go through the content of it, but we will provide a copy of that for your benefit, which. What will happen next in terms of those updated offers that we hope to receive shortly?

Okay. Thank you

Mr. Nicholson. Simon Nicholson from Rain. One point of clarification really, um, you say 95 megawatts, is that what's produced at the incinerated turbine? Or is that what is available to be put into the grid? In other words, what's the consumption of the site when it's all fully running as proposed?

I, I think there are some details within the submitted documents which set out, um, both the, if I call it the gross energy versus the net. Um, you'll see that we have some questions on that, which will come out next week for clarification. So that may help you in, in answering that. Um, so perhaps if I leave it there, um, if unless the applicant wishes to say anything further,

I, on behalf of the applicant, I'm certainly happy to point you to the reference in the dco, um, in terms of where that is dealt with. So schedule. Of the authorized development and, and part one work, number one, which is the, the generating station itself confirms that the gross generation capacity is up to 95 megawatts.

So that's the, the maximum. So it's when it's operating at, at full capacity, it's up to 95. So I can, I can confirm that immediately if I think if there's any more detail points, um, no doubt they'll. Either via the questions or otherwise. Um,

your microphone is not Yes, in essence. Yes.

Okay. Well, if there's no further matters, again, thank everyone for their attendance and contributions today, and I'll formally close this hearing and it's now 25 past 12. So thank you everybody. Thank you. Thank you. Thank you.